

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,850	10/04/2000	Joshua J. Reiter	REITE0004	5969	
24203	7590 05/06/2003				
GRIFFIN & SZIPL, PC SUITE PH-1 2300 NINTH STREET, SOUTH			EXAMINER		
			COSIMANO, EDWARD R		
ARLINGTON	, VA 22204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3629		
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SL

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/678,850		REITER, JOSHUA J.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Edward R. 0		3629				
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period work within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, believed by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no even within the statute will apply and will cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 11 F	ebruary 200	<u>)3</u> .					
2a)⊠ Thi	s action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is n	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Clair	n(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application							
4a) C	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∏ Clair	n(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Clair	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.							
7)∐ Clair	n(s) is/are objected to.							
8) ☐ Clair	n(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election red	quirement.					
9)∏ The s	pecification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)⊠ The d	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 October 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
App	olicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) <u>□</u> The p	roposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) <u></u> ap _l	oroved b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.			
If a	oproved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Offic	ce action.					
12)∏ The c	ath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)☐ Ackr	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)∏ All	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of D	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/678,850

Art Unit: 3629

- 1. Applicant should note the changes to patent practice and procedure:
 - A) effective December 01, 1997 as published in the <u>Federal Register</u>, Vol 62, No. 197, Friday October 10, 1997; and
 - B) effective November 07, 2000 as published in the <u>Federal Register</u>, Vol 65, No. 54603, September 08, 2000.
- 2. The specification and drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification or drawings. Applicant should note the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.74, § 1.75, § 1.84(o,p(5)), § 1.121(a)-1.121(f) & § 1.121(h)-1.121(i).
- 3. Claims 1-50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over either:
- A) claims 1-20 of U. S. Patent No. 5,819,241; since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the above identified patents.
- 3.1 The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:
 - A) the scanning/reading of recipient information from an item;
 - B) checking a data base for the recipient information;
 - C) if a match is found, then applying targeted information to the item if not restricted by the recipient;
 - D) if a match is not found, then applying general information to the item;
 - E) updating a data base based on points (C) and (D); and
 - F) determining the correct postage for the item.
- 3.2 The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29

Application/Control Number: 09/678,850

Art Unit: 3629

USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

- 3.3 A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 3.4 Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR § 1.136(a).
- 4.1 A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance over the prior art:A) the prior art teaches for example:
 - (1) Storace et al (4,831,554) or Heinrich et al (5,471,925) which apply the same advertisement to each item to be shipped until the advertisement is changed; or
 - (2) Freytag (5,490,077 or 5,602,743) which apply the same advertisement to each item to be shipped for a particular cost center; or

Page 3

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/678,850

Art Unit: 3629

- (3) Wilkins (5,446,919) which searches a data base using a profile to apply targeted advertisements.
- B) however, in regard to claims 1, 16, 20, 30 & 40, the prior art does not teach or suggest a mail processing system in which:
 - (1) the recipient data of an item to shipped is obtained from the item to be shipped;
 - (2) the obtained recipient data is then compared to a data base;
 - (3) if the data base contains matching information, then an associated targeted advertisement is applied to item to be shipped, otherwise a generic advertisement is applied; and
 - (4) updating the database to reflect the type of advertising applied to the item.
- Claims 2-5, 17, 21, 31-39 & 41-48 are allowable for the same reason.
- C) however, in regard to claims 6, 11, 18, 22, 24, 26 & 49, the prior art does not teach or suggest a mail processing system in which:
 - (1) the sender data of an item to shipped is obtained from the item to be shipped;
 - (2) the obtained sender data is then compared to a data base;
 - (3) if the data base contains matching information, then an associated targeted advertisement is applied to item to be shipped, otherwise a generic advertisement is applied; and
 - (4) updating the database to reflect the type of advertising applied to the item.

Claims 7-10, 12-15, 19, 23, 25, 27-29 & 50 are allowable for the same reason.

- 6. Response to applicant's arguments.
- 6.1 All rejections and objections of the previous Office action not repeated or modified and repeated here in have been over come by applicant's last response.
- 6.2 As per the obvious double patenting rejection, since applicant failed to terminally disclaim U. S. Patent No. 5,819,241,this rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,850

Art Unit: 3629

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Cosimano whose telephone number is (703) 305-9783. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached on (703)-308-2702. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Page 5

- 7.1 The fax phone number for **UNOFFICIAL/DRAFT FAXES** is (703) 746-7240.
- 7.2 The fax phone number for **OFFICIAL FAXES** is (703) 305-7687.
- 7.3 The fax phone number for **AFTER FINAL FAXES** is (703) 308-3691.

05/03/03

Edward R. Cosimano

Primary Examiner A.U. 3629